THE MORMON TRILOGY

Pt 1 - Questioning Mormonism

A direct examination of core claims, origins, and doctrinal fractures.

Pt 2 - Mormonism vs Dispensationalism

A structural comparison of two systems built in the same century, each claiming restored truth.

Pt 3 - If Mormons Were Hobbits

A light allegory showing the same terrain through a fictional journey through middle earth.

QUESTIONING MORMONISM

1. Core Thesis

Millions of martyrs across seventeen centuries lived, suffered, and died for the Christian faith. Many of them were among the most discerning, faithful, and Spirit-filled believers God ever raised up. Mormonism claims that during that same long stretch of history, the church had already fallen into apostasy and the gospel was corrupted.

If that were true, then these martyrs died for a faith God no longer supported. Their discernment would have failed. Their faith would have been misplaced. Their suffering would have been for error. Their loyalty to Christ would have been attached to a corrupted gospel.

There is not a single thread of evidence that such a collapse ever occurred. The Great Apostasy claim is not built on history. It is built on theological necessity. Mormonism needs the apostasy to be true in order to justify Joseph Smith's "restoration." Without it, the entire structure falls.

2. No Evidence for a Great Apostasy

The Great Apostasy doctrine asserts that after the apostles died, the true church disappeared and the gospel was lost for roughly 1,700 years. That is an enormous claim. If it were true, it would leave marks everywhere in the historical record. We would expect to see clear documentation of a sudden collapse, a decisive corruption, or a recognized loss of the gospel.

But there is not one document, creed, council, martyr record, sermon, manuscript, eyewitness account, or archaeological finding that records such an event. No church council ever declared, "We have now abandoned the true gospel." No martyr ever wrote, "I am dying for a faith that has already become corrupted." No teacher of the early or medieval church ever announced, "The gospel has disappeared from the earth."

Instead, the record shows continuity. The gospel continued to be preached. Churches continued to gather and grow. Scripture continued to be copied, translated, and preserved. Doctrine continued to be defended in councils and confessions. Believers continued to suffer and die for Christ, convinced they were standing in the truth.

Books like Martyr's Mirror, written centuries ago, document this long line of faithful witnesses. They show a living church, a preached gospel, and a costly discipleship. This is the very period Mormonism labels "the Great Apostasy," yet the evidence points in the opposite direction. The claim of a 1,700-year disappearance exists only because Mormonism requires it to make room for Joseph Smith's restoration narrative.

3. The Character of God Makes Apostasy Impossible

The Great Apostasy doctrine does not only collide with history. It collides with the character of God.

If Mormonism is true, then God allowed His church, His gospel, His witness, His promises, and His Son's commission to disappear from the earth for seventeen centuries. During that same time, He allowed His most faithful believers to be tortured and killed for a faith He supposedly no longer supported.

That picture is incompatible with the God revealed in Scripture. God does not lose His church. He does not lose His gospel. He does not lose His truth, His people, His witness, or His authority. When God establishes something—His covenant, His church, His gospel—He preserves it. He does not abandon it for nearly two millennia and then restart it through a single nineteenth-century figure.

The God of the Bible is faithful, consistent, and truthful. He keeps His promises. He guards His people. He honors the blood of His witnesses. A doctrine that requires God to turn His back on all of that for seventeen centuries cannot be reconciled with His character.

4. The Martyr Problem

The martyr issue is where the apostasy doctrine collapses most visibly.

If Mormonism is true, then Polycarp burned alive for a corrupted gospel. Perpetua and Felicity died in the arena for a corrupted gospel. The Cappadocian fathers defended the Trinity and the deity of Christ for a corrupted gospel. The Reformers stood against error and paid with their lives for a corrupted gospel. The Waldensians, Huguenots, and countless believers across Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East were slaughtered for a corrupted gospel.

In that scenario, the most faithful and discerning believers in history were misled. Their courage, their obedience, and their suffering were all poured out for a faith God had supposedly abandoned. Their martyrdom would not confirm the truth. It would simply be tragic devotion to error.

If that were the case, then discernment means nothing. Faith means nothing. Suffering means nothing. Martyrdom means nothing. Obedience means nothing. Truth means nothing. All of these would be shown to be unreliable, because they occurred inside a system God had already rejected.

But that is not how Scripture treats martyrs. Their suffering is presented as a testimony to the truth, not to corruption. Their blood is described as a witness, not as a mistake. Martyrs are not evidence that the gospel failed. They are evidence that the gospel endured.

Martyrs are the continuity line. They show that the church was alive, the gospel was intact, and the Spirit was present. Their existence refutes the idea that God abandoned His people and His truth for seventeen centuries.

5. Christ's Reign Makes Apostasy Impossible

The New Testament presents Christ as reigning now. After His resurrection, He ascended and is described as seated at the right hand of God, ruling over all things. He is portrayed as sustaining His church, building His church, interceding for His people, and remaining present with them.

A reigning Christ does not preside over a vanished gospel and a collapsed church. He does not watch silently while truth disappears from the earth and millions die for error. He does not lose what He purchased with His own blood.

If the Great Apostasy doctrine were true, then Christ's reign would be strangely absent from the very era when His church supposedly disappeared. It would mean that the King of kings allowed His own commission to fail, His own gospel to vanish, and His own witnesses to die for a faith He no longer endorsed.

That picture cannot be reconciled with the New Testament's description of Christ's kingship. A reigning Christ sustains His church. A reigning Christ preserves His gospel. A reigning Christ protects His witnesses and shepherds His people. The idea

of a 1,700-year disappearance under His rule is theologically impossible.

6. Full Structural Collapse

When all of these lines are brought together, the Great Apostasy doctrine collapses under its own weight.

It contradicts history, because there is no evidence of a sudden disappearance of the gospel or the church. It contradicts Scripture, because Christ is presented as reigning and sustaining His people. It contradicts the character of God, because it requires Him to abandon His church, His gospel, His martyrs, and His promises for seventeen centuries. It contradicts God's unconditional love, because it portrays Him as allowing His most faithful followers to die for a faith He no longer supports.

It also contradicts the meaning of martyrdom. If the apostasy doctrine were true, then martyrdom would prove nothing about truth. It would simply show that people can be deeply devoted to error. But in Scripture and in history, martyrs are treated as witnesses to the reality of the gospel, not as victims of a corrupted system.

Martyrs prove continuity. Continuity proves the gospel was never lost. If the gospel was never lost, then Mormonism's restoration claim has no foundation. If the restoration claim has no foundation, the apostasy doctrine collapses. And if the apostasy doctrine collapses, Joseph Smith's claims collapse with it.

The contrast is simple: evidence versus no evidence, substance versus nothing. The historical and theological weight lies entirely on the side of continuity. The Great Apostasy doctrine cannot be true.

Mormonism and Dispensationalism Structural Comparison

1. Core Frame

Mormonism's Great Apostasy doctrine and Evangelical Dispensationalism's end-times system appear unrelated on the surface. One deals with history and restoration. The other deals with prophecy and eschatology. But when examined structurally, both systems follow the same pattern. Both introduce doctrines that did not exist for nearly two millennia. Both require retroactive explanations for why no one believed them. Both undermine the continuity of the church and the witness of the martyrs. Both contradict Christ's reign and God's faithfulness. And both emerge in the same century, inside the same interpretive environment.

This comparison is not opinion. It is historical record, doctrinal structure, and theological mechanics.

2. Doctrines That Did Not Exist for 1,700 - 1,800 Years

Mormonism teaches that after the apostles died, the gospel vanished, the church collapsed, authority was lost, and truth disappeared for seventeen centuries. It claims that Christianity became apostate and required a nineteenth-century restoration.

Evangelical Dispensationalism teaches a future pretribulation rapture, a seven-year tribulation, a rebuilt temple, a literal three-and-a-half plus three-and-a-half year split, a future Antichrist sitting in a physical temple, a separated seventieth week of Daniel, and a strictly future reading of Revelation. None of these doctrines existed for eighteen centuries. They appear in the 1800s, the same decades Joseph Smith produced Mormonism.

Two systems. Same century. Same interpretive climate. Same pattern of new doctrines emerging after 1,800 years of silence.

3. Retroactive Justification for Missing Centuries

Both systems must explain why no one believed their doctrines before the 1800s.

Mormonism says the truth was lost. Dispensationalism says the church misunderstood prophecy. Both explanations require saying that Polycarp, Irenaeus, Augustine, Luther, Calvin, Wesley, and millions of martyrs did not know the real doctrine. Both require

saying that the entire church was wrong until the nineteenth century.

This is the same structural flaw. A doctrine that requires eighteen centuries of retroactive justification is not anchored in continuity. It is anchored in novelty.

4. Mormonism does not challenge Christianity in any direct or aggressive way.

They do not accuse Christians of being wrong. They do not call Christianity a cult. They do not confront the historic church. They simply teach their own restoration narrative and move on. Their posture is quiet and inward. They do not spend time attacking the church that existed before Joseph Smith.

Christianity does the opposite. Pastors and teachers have openly called Mormonism a cult for decades. They point to Joseph Smith's visions, his authority claims, his new scripture, his new doctrines, and his restoration narrative. They see the pattern clearly. They see the structure of a single man creating a new system. They see the apostasy claim. They see the missing centuries. They see the contradictions. They see the historical break. They see the theological expansion. They see the signature of a cult leader with evidence to support his claims.

The irony is that these same Christian leaders do not see that their own nineteenth century eschatology follows the same pattern. They call out Mormonism for inventing doctrines in the 1800s, yet they do not see that they invented their own doctrines in the same century. They call out Mormonism for claiming the church lost the truth, yet they do not see that dispensationalism claims the church misunderstood prophecy for eighteen centuries. They call out Mormonism for contradicting continuity, yet they do not see that their own system contradicts continuity. They call out Mormonism for creating a new narrative, yet they do not see that their own system creates a new narrative.

The asymmetry is striking. One side stays quiet. The other side calls out error. But the structural fingerprint is the same.

5. The Martyr Problem

Martyrs are the continuity line of Christian history. They show that the gospel was preached, believed, defended, and preserved.

They show that the church was alive and the Spirit was present. They show that truth was not lost.

Mormonism implies that millions of martyrs died for a corrupted gospel. Dispensationalism implies that millions died without knowing the “real” end-times timeline. In both systems, the martyrs become people who lived and died without the truth.

This creates the same message: do not trust your faith, it may be wrong. Both systems undermine the witness of the martyrs. Both contradict the continuity of the gospel. Both collapse under the weight of history.

6. Exaggeration and Expansion Beyond Scripture

Mormonism exaggerates apostasy, restoration, lost authority, lost gospel, lost church, and lost truth. Dispensationalism exaggerates Daniel’s seventieth week, Revelation’s chronology, a rebuilt temple, a future Antichrist sitting in it, a secret rapture, a seven-year tribulation, and a literal split of three-and-a-half years.

Both systems insert gaps, force literalism, build charts, create timelines, add assumptions, reinterpret passages, and ignore eighteen centuries of Christian consensus. The mechanism is identical. The doctrines differ. The structure is the same.

7. Missing Centuries and the Problem of Christ’s Reign

Both systems require a missing-centuries explanation. Mormonism says the church fell away. Dispensationalism says the church misunderstood prophecy. Both require saying that everyone before the 1800s was wrong.

This contradicts Christ’s reign. A reigning Christ does not lose His gospel. A reigning Christ does not lose His church. A reigning Christ does not lose His truth. Apply this to dispensationalism: if Christ is reigning, He did not allow eighteen centuries of prophetic misunderstanding. He did not allow the entire church to misread Revelation. He did not allow the entire church to miss the rapture doctrine, the tribulation doctrine, or the temple doctrine.

The contradiction is identical. The collapse is identical.

8. Structural Fingerprint

When placed side by side, the comparison becomes unmistakable.

Mormonism teaches that truth disappeared for seventeen centuries. Dispensationalism teaches that correct interpretation disappeared for eighteen centuries. Mormonism says the gospel was lost. Dispensationalism says prophecy was lost. Mormonism says the church collapsed. Dispensationalism says the church misunderstood prophecy. Mormonism implies martyrs died for error. Dispensationalism implies martyrs died without the "real" eschatology. Both doctrines were invented in the 1800s. Both require retroactive justification. Both contradict Christ's reign. Both contradict continuity. Both are invented doctrines.

The structural fingerprint is exact.

9. The Signature of Deception

Scripture describes the master deceiver as the father of lies, the deceiver of the whole world, the accuser of the brethren, the one who masquerades as an angel of light, the one who blinds minds, the one who sows false doctrine, the one who distorts Scripture, and the one who creates counterfeit gospels.

Every major deception follows the same pattern. Add something God never said. Remove something God did say. Reinterpret something God made clear. Introduce something that requires centuries of silence. Create a narrative that contradicts Christ's reign. Undermine the continuity of the gospel. Invalidate the martyrs.

This pattern is not accidental. It is not random. It is the signature of the master deceiver.

10. The Theological Conclusion

Any doctrine that requires seventeen or eighteen centuries of silence is not from God. It is from the one who specializes in counterfeits. God is faithful. Christ is reigning. The Spirit is present. The gospel is preserved. The church is sustained. Truth does not disappear. Martyrs do not die for error.

When a doctrine requires a vanished gospel, a collapsed church, a missing millennium, a new revelation, a new authority, a new timeline, a new eschatology, a new restoration, or a new interpretation, logic and Scripture identify the source.

Mormonism’s apostasy doctrine and Evangelical Dispensationalism’s nineteenth-century eschatology share the same structural fingerprint. Both were invented in the 1800s. Both contradict continuity. Both contradict Christ’s reign. Both contradict the martyrs. Both contradict Scripture. Both require lost truth, new revelation, missing centuries, and the claim that everyone before them was wrong.

That is not the fingerprint of God. That is the fingerprint of the master of deception.

The answer for both systems is found in Scripture itself. It is found in the words understood for centuries. It is found in the continuity of the gospel and the witness of the martyrs.

IF HOBBITS WERE MORMONS

The Hobbits were the most beloved people in all the quiet lands. Their fields were green and their hearths were warm. Their laughter carried across the hills like a gentle wind. They were honest to nearly a fault and kept their promises with steady hearts. They honored their families and lived clean lives far from the shadows where adultery and fornication lived.

They believed obedience was the strength of a people and for many years it was. Their homes were peaceful and their gatherings were joyful. Their hearts were steady and they trusted one another because they themselves were trustworthy. They were bright and sincere and gentle and loyal, the kind of people an old wizard could love without effort.

Beneath all this goodness lived a sorrow they could not see. The old wizard had watched them since his youth and had seen their innocence and kindness. He had seen their joy and their harmony, but he had also seen something else. Something that grew quietly beneath the soil and would one day rise and take them where they did not intend to go.

The Hobbits believed in a King and spoke of him with reverence. They trusted his words and followed his teachings. They believed he had restored the ancient ways and brought back the commandments. They believed he had given them a path that would lead them safely home and they believed he was good because they themselves were good.

The old wizard had read the records and walked through the pages of history. He had seen the contradictions and the secrets, the stories that did not match the songs. He had seen how the King spoke of obedience while living outside the very laws he claimed to restore. He had seen how the King taught purity while walking in impurity and demanded faithfulness while living unfaithfully.

The Hobbits did not see it. Their hearts were too gentle and their trust too deep. Their loyalty was too strong, and they believed the King walked clean because they themselves walked clean. They believed the King was honest because they themselves were honest and believed he was faithful because they themselves were faithful.

They could not imagine that a leader might speak one way and live another. They could not imagine that a King might build a kingdom on contradictions. They walked joyously through their

days, singing and working and feasting. They trusted and followed and believed they were safe, walking toward the light with cheerful steps and unshaken confidence.

The old wizard saw the cliff long before they reached it. He saw how the path curved and how the ground thinned. He saw how the edge approached and how the King’s teachings led them closer and closer to a place where the earth fell away. He saw how their innocence became their blindness and how their trust became their danger.

He tried to speak and warn, but they smiled and nodded and thanked him for his concern. They continued walking, beloved and sincere, innocent and blind. The old wizard stood alone as they moved toward the edge and felt the weight of their steps and the sorrow of their trust. He felt the grief of their doom and the helplessness of watching good people walk toward a place they could not see.

He whispered to the wind and to the sky and to the earth and to the One who sees all things. He said these were the most beloved people he knew. They walked with joy and innocence and trust, and they walked toward the cliff. He said he could not stop them or turn them or open their eyes, but if they ever returned to his door he would be ready. He would speak and show and tell the truth. He would astonish them if they let him, and he waited quietly and faithfully as the Hobbits walked on.

The day was warm and the sky was clear when one Hobbit finally slowed his steps. He had walked with the others for many seasons and had sung their songs and trusted their King. He had followed the path with cheerful confidence, but something in the air felt different. Something in the ground felt thin and something in his heart felt unsettled.

He looked ahead and saw the joy of his companions. He looked behind and saw the old wizard standing alone. He looked down and felt the earth tremble beneath his feet. He did not know why he stopped. He only knew he could not take the next step. A whisper rose inside him, a quiet question, a gentle stirring. It was not loud or dramatic. It was a small voice telling him something was wrong.

He stood still while the others walked on and watched them move toward the edge with laughter and trust. Their innocence carried them forward and their joy hid the danger beneath their feet. The old wizard saw him stop and did not call out or wave his

arms. He simply waited, knowing truth enters a heart only when it begins to open.

He knew questions rise when the ground beneath a person begins to shift. The Hobbit had reached the moment where the path no longer felt safe. This was the moment the wizard had waited for. The Hobbit turned slowly and walked back toward him with uncertain steps and a troubled face. His heart was heavy and he stood before the wizard without speaking.

The old wizard looked at him with kindness. He had seen this moment many times and knew the weight of it. He knew the fear and the courage it required. The Hobbit finally spoke with quiet words. He said the path felt wrong and the ground felt thin. He said the joy of the others no longer felt safe, and the King's teachings did not match the King's life. He said he felt as if he had been walking with his eyes closed.

Truth arrives as discomfort. It begins as a whisper. It comes when a person finally stops walking long enough to feel the ground beneath their feet. The Hobbit's heart had awakened, and the truth had set him free. He asked what he should do and the wizard said he must first see clearly and look at the King's history without fear. He must examine the contradictions without excuses and compare the King's teachings with the King's actions.

He must decide whether obedience to a man who broke the commandments could ever lead to life. He must walk with his eyes open. The Hobbit looked toward the cliff and saw his companions walking with joy. He saw their innocence and trust and blindness and felt sorrow rise in his chest. He asked if he could save them and the wizard said he could not. No one can open the eyes of another. Each Hobbit must reach the moment where the path feels wrong.

Each Hobbit must stop on their own and the Hobbit who stops becomes the Hobbit who sees. The Hobbit asked what would happen next and the wizard said he would show him the truth. He would walk with him and teach him what the King never taught. He would reveal the records and uncover the contradictions. The Hobbit took a deep breath and said he was ready. The old wizard began.

The Hobbit stood before the old wizard with a troubled heart. The others were far ahead now, and their laughter drifted faintly across the hills. Their joy still sounded pure and their trust still sounded strong, but the Hobbit could no longer hear

those sounds without feeling a weight inside his chest. He felt as if he had awakened from a long sleep and as if his eyes had opened for the first time.

The path he had trusted all his life felt changed beneath his feet. The old wizard looked at him with quiet understanding and had seen this moment many times. He knew the fear that came with awakening and the sorrow that followed clarity. He knew the trembling that came when a Hobbit finally saw the truth and knew this Hobbit was standing at the doorway of a new world.

The old wizard motioned for him to sit beside him on a fallen log. The Hobbit sat and the wizard folded his hands while the wind moved gently through the grass. The sky was calm and the earth was still. The wizard spoke and said every kingdom has a beginning, and every leader has a story. He said every path has a source and the King the Hobbits trusted had a history few had ever examined.

He told how the King had spoken of commandments but had not kept them. He said the King had taught purity but had not lived it and had demanded obedience while walking outside the very laws he claimed to restore. The Hobbit listened with wide eyes and felt the ground beneath him shift. He felt truth rising like a tide and felt sorrow deepen. He asked the wizard to continue.

The wizard said the King had lived two lives. One life was public and filled with noble words and righteous teachings. It was filled with proclamations and promises and speeches about obedience and purity. It was filled with declarations about commandments and holiness and was the life the Hobbits knew and trusted. It was the life they followed without question.

The other life was hidden and filled with secrets and contradictions. It held choices that broke the very laws the King claimed to uphold and actions that would have shattered the trust of the Hobbits if they had known. It was filled with behavior that did not match the songs sung in his honor. It was the life the wizard had studied, and the records revealed the King hoped no one would uncover.

The Hobbit felt his breath catch and asked how such a thing could happen. He asked how a people so honest could follow a leader so divided and how a kingdom built on obedience could be led by a man who did not obey. He asked how the Hobbits could be so blind. Blindness does not come from stupidity. It rises from

trust and loyalty and innocence. It grows when goodness in one's own heart is assumed in the one who leads.

The Hobbit lowered his head and felt tears rise. He felt grief settle into his bones and felt the weight of the cliff ahead. He asked what the King had done and asked for the truth and the whole story. The wizard nodded and said the truth was heavy and painful. He said the truth would change the Hobbit forever but would open his eyes, the truth would set him free, and the Hobbit must be ready.

The Hobbit lifted his head with steady eyes and a firm voice. Said he was ready. The wizard began to reveal the hidden history of the King. The King had lived a divided life and had spoken of commandments while breaking the ones that hold a society together. Saying, the King had taught purity while walking in impurity and had demanded obedience while refusing to obey.

The King had hidden his contradictions behind noble speeches and covered his choices with spiritual language. He had wrapped his disobedience in claims of revelation and used the trust of the Hobbits to shield himself from accountability.

He had not become what he practiced, and the people followed because they believed he was what he claimed. The Hobbit felt his heart ache and asked why the Hobbits had never seen it.

The wizard said innocence blinds when it trusts too deeply and loyalty blinds when it is given without examination. Goodness blinds when it assumes goodness in others.

The Hobbits were not foolish. They were faithful and sincere and kind. They were gentle and honest and everything a people should be. That was why they could not see the truth. The Hobbit looked toward the cliff and saw the others walking with joy.

He saw their trust, innocence and blindness and felt grief rise in him. He asked what would happen to them, and the wizard said the path would take them where it always leads. A path built on contradictions cannot end in safety.

A path built on disobedience cannot end in life. A path shaped by a divided leader cannot end in truth. The cliff was not a metaphor. It was real and near and was the end of the path they trusted.

The Hobbit felt his breath tremble and asked what he should do. He must turn and walk away from the cliff. He must return to the old paths and walk toward the commandments that never changed.

He must walk toward the truth that does not contradict itself and must walk with his eyes open. The Hobbit asked if he should try to save the others and the wizard said he could speak if they asked.

He could show if they returned and could guide if they were ready. He could not force their eyes open. Each Hobbit must reach the moment where the path feels wrong. Each must stop on their own and awaken in their own time.

The Hobbit stood and looked at the cliff and at the wizard and at the path behind him. He felt sorrow but also clarity and resolve. So, he turned.

The wizard nodded and rose slowly. His hand rested on the Hobbit’s shoulder. The journey back would be long, and the truth would be heavy, and the path would be narrow. The light would be clear, and the Hobbit would never walk blind again.

The Hobbit took his first step away from the cliff and the old wizard walked beside him. The story ends where all true stories begin with a single Hobbit who finally saw the truth and chose to walk the other way.